Art Unit: 4116

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 13 - 22, drawn to a ferritic Cr-contained steel.

Group II, claim(s) 23 – 32, drawn to a method of manufacturing ferritic Cr-contained steel.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Unity exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed technical features. The expression "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art."(Rule 13.2). The question of unity of invention has been reconsidered retroactively by the examiner in view of the search performed; a review of Uematsu et al. (JP 06-088168 A) makes clear that the claimed species is not novel over the prior art. Uematsu et al. disclose a ferritic Cr-contained steel with an overlapping composition with that of the instant application (see English abstract).

in to the groups as mentioned immediately above.

Art Unit: 4116

Furthermore, this reference appears to demonstrate that the technical feature (i.e. the composition of the ferritic Cr-contained steel) does not define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Thus, lack of unity becomes apparent "a posteriori" after taking the prior art into consideration.

Accordingly, the prior art of the record supports restriction of the claimed subject matter

# Rejoining Practice

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Art Unit: 4116

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Regarding Group I, if elected, the species are as follows:

Species A - Claim 18

A ferritic Cr-contained steel comprising C of about 0.03% or less, Mn of about 5.0% or less, Cr of about 6 to about 40%, N of about 0.03% or less, Si of about 5% or less, and W of about 2.05 to about 6.0% in percent by mass, and Fe and inevitable impurities as the remainder and further comprising one or two of **REM** of about 0.1% or less and **Ca** of about 0.1% or less in percent by mass, wherein precipitated W is about

Application/Control Number: 10/583,220
Art Unit: 4116

0.1% or less in percent by mass, and an average thermal expansion coefficient between  $20^{\circ}$ C and  $800^{\circ}$ C is less than about  $12.6 \times 10^{-6}$ /°C.

## Species B - Claim 19

A ferritic Cr-contained steel comprising C of about 0.03% or less, Mn of about 5.0% or less, Cr of about 6 to about 40%, N of about 0.03% or less, Si of about 5% or less, and W of about 2.05 to about 6.0% in percent by mass, and Fe and inevitable impurities as the remainder and further comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of Nb of about 1% or less, Ti of about 1% or less, Zr of about 1% or less, Al of about 1% or less, and V of about 1% or less in percent by mass and further comprising Mo of about 5.0% or less in percent by mass, wherein precipitated W is about 0.1% or less in percent by mass, and an average thermal expansion coefficient between 20°C and 800°C is less than about 12.6×10°9°C.

## Species C - Claim 20

A ferritic Cr-contained steel comprising C of about 0.03% or less, Mn of about 5.0% or less, Cr of about 6 to about 40%, N of about 0.03% or less, Si of about 5% or less, and W of about 2.05 to about 6.0% in percent by mass, and Fe and inevitable impurities as the remainder and further comprising **Mo** of about 5.0% or less in percent by mass and further comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of **Ni** of about 2.0% or less, **Cu** of about 3.0% or less, and **Co** of about 1.0% or less in percent by mass, wherein precipitated W is about 0.1% or less in percent by mass, and an average thermal expansion coefficient between 20°C and 800°C is less than about 12.6×10.6°C.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/583,220 Art Unit: 4116

# Species D - Claim 22

A ferritic Cr-contained steel comprising C of about 0.03% or less, Mn of about 5.0% or less, Cr of about 6 to about 40%, N of about 0.03% or less, Si of about 5% or less, and W of about 2.05 to about 6.0% in percent by mass, and Fe and inevitable impurities as the remainder and further comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of Nb of about 1% or less, Ti of about 1% or less, Zr of about 1% or less, Al of about 1% or less, and V of about 1% or less in percent by mass and further comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of B of about 0.01% or less and Mg of about 0.01% or less in percent by mass, wherein precipitated W is about 0.1% or less in percent by mass, and an average thermal expansion coefficient between 20°C and 800°C is less than about 12.6×10.6°FC.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,220
Art Unit: 4116

 The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

```
Species A corresponds to claim 18, which is dependent on claim 13. Species B corresponds to claim 19, which is dependent on claim 14. Species C corresponds to claim 20, which is dependent on claim 15. Species D corresponds to claim 22, which is dependent on claim 14.
```

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 13.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Lack of unity of invention may be directly evident "a priori" if before considering the claims in relation to prior art, they do not share a common technical feature. In the case of a species election, it is understood that they share a genus as a common feature. However, the further limitations (species) are imposed in order to make a contribution over prior art. If these species do not share a common technical feature, then they lack unity.

In the instant application, the four species A through D do not share a common technical feature. Rather, the compositions of the ferritic Cr-contained steel are made up of different elements and are therefore distinct.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 4116

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caitlin Fogarty whose telephone number is 571-270-3589. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM FST

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571) 272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,220 Page 9

Art Unit: 4116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CF

/Vickie Kim/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4116